

## 14 SOURCES USED IN DOCUMENT PREPARATION

This chapter lists the sources used in the preparation of this document. The sources are listed by chapter, and by section in the case of Chapter 3. The sources include printed material, Web-based material, and personal communications.

### 14.1 Summary

California High-Speed Rail Authority. 2004. Tunneling issues report. January.

California High-Speed Rail Authority and Federal Railroad Administration. 2004. Engineering criteria.

———. 2005. Final program environmental impact report/environmental impact statement (EIR/EIS) for the proposed California high-speed train system.

———. 2006. Draft alignment alternatives and potential station locations options report.

———. 2006. Additional potential HST alignments and stations considered but rejected report.

### 14.2 Chapter 1 Purpose and Need and Objectives

California High-Speed Rail Authority and Federal Railroad Administration. 2005. Final Program Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement (EIR/EIS) for the Proposed California High-Speed Train System. Sacramento, CA.

California High-Speed Rail Authority. 2000. High-speed train system business plan. Sacramento, CA.

Cambridge Systematics. 2007. Bay Area/California High-Speed Rail ridership and revenue forecasting study: final report. Prepared for the Metropolitan Transportation Commission and the California High-Speed Rail Authority. July.

Federal Railroad Administration. 2007. Office of safety analysis, internet site:  
<http://safetydata.fra.dot.gov> (March 2007)

National Center for Statistics and Analysis. 2006. Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS). Available:  
<[www-nrd.nhtsa.dot.gov/departments/nrd-30/ncsa/FARS.html](http://www-nrd.nhtsa.dot.gov/departments/nrd-30/ncsa/FARS.html)>.

National Transportation Safety Board. 2000.

Office of the Inspector General. 2000. Audit report no. CR-2000-112, Air carrier flight delays and cancellations. July 25. Washington, D.C.

San Francisco Chronicle, April 26, 2001

San Francisco Chronicle, February 8, 2005

Southern California Association of Governments. 2001. 2001 regional transportation plan update. Los Angeles, CA.

U.S. Department of Transportation. 2003. Air travel consumer report.

Young, E. 2003. *San Francisco Business Times*. December 12, 2003.

### 14.3 Chapter 2 Alternatives

California Department of Transportation. 1994. Los Angeles-Bakersfield preliminary engineering feasibility study final report.

California High-Speed Rail Authority. 1999. California high-speed rail corridor evaluation. Prepared by Parsons Brinckerhoff. December.

———. 2000. Final business plan, ridership and revenue. Sacramento, CA.

———. 2004. Tunneling issues report. January.

———. 2004. Engineering criteria. January.

California High-Speed Rail Authority and Federal Railroad Administration. 2004. Engineering Criteria.

———. 2005. Final program environmental impact report/environmental impact statement (EIR/EIS) for the proposed California high-speed train system. Sacramento, CA.

———. 2006. Bay Area to Central Valley scoping report.

———. 2006. Additional potential HST alignment and stations considered but rejected report.

———. 2006. Draft alignment alternatives and potential station locations options report.

California Intercity High-Speed Rail Commission. 1996. Corridor evaluation and environmental constraints analysis.

Cambridge Systematics. 2007. Bay Area/California High-Speed Rail ridership and revenue forecasting study: final report. Prepared for the Metropolitan Transportation Commission and the California High-Speed Rail Authority. July.

Charles River Associates. 1996. Independent ridership and passenger revenue projections for high-speed rail alternatives in California. July.

———. 2000. Independent ridership and passenger revenue projections for high-speed rail alternatives in California, draft final report. Prepared for the California High-Speed Rail Authority.

Council of Fresno County Governments. 2004. Regional transportation plan. Adopted July 22, 2004.

Federal Railroad Administration. 1997. High-speed ground transportation for America.

Merced County Association of Governments (MCAG). 2004. Regional transportation plan for Merced County. Adopted August 19, 2004.

Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC). 2005. Transportation 2030 Plan for the San Francisco Bay Area. February.

———. 2007. Memorandum, Route Adoption, 10-MER-152 PM 16.0/24.8 Resolution HRA 07-031 November 7-8, 2007. Parsons Brinckerhoff. 2002. Final draft environmental analysis

methodologies. Prepared for California High-Speed Rail Authority. November 7. Sacramento, CA.

———. 2002. Plans and profiles. November. Prepared for California High-Speed Rail Authority. Sacramento, CA.

Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG). 2006. Metropolitan transportation plan. Adopted March 16, 2006.

San Joaquin Council of Governments. 2004. Regional transportation plan: Vision 2030.

Stanislaus Council of Governments. 2004. Regional transportation plan.

## **14.4 Chapter 3 Affected Environment**

### **14.4.1 Section 3.1 Traffic, Transit, Circulation, and Parking**

California Department of Transportation. 2003. CEQA checklist. Available: <[www.caltrans.gov](http://www.caltrans.gov)>. Accessed: November 10, 2003.

Transportation Research Board. 2000. Highway Capacity Manual 2000. Washington, DC.

### **14.4.2 Section 3.2 Travel Conditions**

Bureau of Transportation Statistics. 2002 website (<http://www.bts.gov/ntda/oai>).

California Department of Transportation. 2001. California aviation system plan. Sacramento, CA.

———. 2002. LOSSAN corridor draft strategic plan. April. Sacramento, CA.

California High-Speed Rail Authority. 2000. Final business plan. Sacramento, CA.

California High-Speed Rail Authority. 2000a. Economic growth effects of the system alternatives for the program environmental impact report/environmental impact statement. Sacramento, CA.

———. 2003. Economic growth effects of the system alternatives for the program environmental impact report/environmental impact statement. Sacramento, CA.

California Highway Patrol. 2000.

California Transportation Commission. 2000. 2000 annual report to the California legislature, volume 1. Sacramento, CA.

Cambridge Systematics. 2007. Bay Area/California High-Speed Rail ridership and revenue forecasting study: final report. Prepared for the Metropolitan Transportation Commission and the California High-Speed Rail Authority. July.

Charles River Associates, Inc. 2000. Independent ridership and passenger revenue projections for high-speed rail alternatives in California, draft final report. Prepared for the California High-Speed Rail Authority.

———. 2000. Sensitivity analysis.

- Federal Highway Administration Office of Freight Management and Operations. 2003. Aviation in California: Benefits to our economy and way of life. Washington, D.C.: Federal Highway Administration Office of Freight Management and Operations.
- Hansen, M., G. Gosling, T. Kettunen, and E. Wilkins. 2002. The California aviation system: Current status and report trends. Berkeley, CA: University of California Berkeley Institute of Transportation Studies.
- Metropolitan Transportation Commission. 2003. San Francisco Bay Area demographic and travel characteristics: 1970 to 2020. Oakland, CA.
- Meunier, Jacob. 2001. *On the fast track: French railway modernization and the origins of the TGV, 1944-1983*. Westport, CT and London: Praeger publishers.
- Nash, A. 2003. Best practices in shared-use high-speed rail systems. San Jose, CA: San Jose State University Minnetta Transportation Institute.
- National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. 2001. Traffic safety facts 2000. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Transportation.
- San Francisco International Airport. 2003. Building the future. Available: <[www.flysfo.com](http://www.flysfo.com)>. Accessed: December 2003.
- San Joaquin Council of Governments. 2002. Environmental impact report for the 2001 regional transportation plan. Stockton, CA.
- Shrank, D., and T. Lomax. 2002. The 2002 urban mobility report. College Station, TX: Texas Transportation Institute.
- Sivak, M., and M. Flannagan. 2002. Flying and driving after the September 11 attacks. *University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute Research Review*, July– September.
- Southern California Association of Governments. 2001. Regional aviation plan for the 2001 regional transportation plan. Los Angeles, CA.
- Texas Transportation Institute and Cambridge Systematics, Inc. 2003. Monitoring urban roadways in 2001: Using archived operations data for reliability and mobility measurement. April. College Station, TX.

#### **14.4.3 Section 3.3 Air Quality**

- American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Center for Environmental Excellence. 2008. Information on Climate Change and Transportation Available: <[http://environment.transportation.org/pdf/air\\_quality/ClimateTransFAQs.zip](http://environment.transportation.org/pdf/air_quality/ClimateTransFAQs.zip)>. Accessed: 3/15/08.
- California Air Resources Board, Planning and Technical Support Division. 2003. The 2003 California almanac of emissions and air quality.
- California Air Resources Board. 2006. Forecast emissions by summary categories report.
- California Climate Change Center. 2006. Scenarios of Climate Change in California: An Overview. February. Sacramento, CA.

California Department of Transportation. 1997. Transportation project-level carbon monoxide protocol. UCD-ITS-RR-97-21. Revised December. Sacramento, CA.

———. 2006. California motor vehicle stock, travel and fuel forecast.

California Department of Transportation: Business, Transportation and Housing Agency. 2006. Climate Action Program at Caltrans. December. Sacramento, CA.

California Energy Commission. 2005. California energy demand 2006–2016, staff energy demand forecast. Revised September 2005.

Cambridge Systematics. 2007. Bay Area/California High-Speed Rail ridership and revenue forecasting study: alternatives analysis. Prepared for the Metropolitan Transportation Commission and the California High-Speed Rail Authority. June. Oakland, CA.

Federal Highway Administration. Memo to all FHWA offices from Gloria Shepherd, dated 3/4/2008 referencing Information on Climate Change and Transportation Available: <[http://environment.transportation.org/pdf/air\\_quality/ClimateTransFAQs.zip](http://environment.transportation.org/pdf/air_quality/ClimateTransFAQs.zip)>. Accessed: 3/15/08.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. 2006. State of the Climate in 2005. Available: <http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/oa/climate/research/2005/ann/annsum2005.html>.

Parsons Brinckerhoff. 2002. System alternatives definition report. November.

Shapiro, Hassett, and Arnold. 2002. Conserving energy and preserving the environment: The role of public transportation. Prepared for the American Public Transportation Association.

U.S. Department of Energy. 2006. *Transportation energy data book*. Edition 25.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2007. Basic Information: U.S. Climate Policy. Available: <http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/basicinfo.html>. Accessed March 31, 2008.

#### **14.4.4 Section 3.4 Noise and Vibration**

California High-Speed Rail Authority and Federal Railroad Administration. 2005. Final program environmental impact report/environmental impact statement (EIR/EIS) for the proposed California High-Speed Train System. August. Sacramento, CA.

Miller, N.P. 2001. Effects of military overflights on recreational users of national parks. *Inter-Noise 2001*. The 2001 International Congress and Exhibition on Noise Control Engineering. The Hague, Holland. August 27–30.

U.S. Department of Transportation. 1998. Title 23: Highways – Part 772 procedures for abatement of highway traffic noise and construction noise.

———. 2005. High-speed ground transportation noise and vibration assessment. Federal Railroad Administration, October 2005.

———. 2006. Transit noise and vibration assessment, Federal Transit Administration. May.

#### 14.4.5 Section 3.5 Energy

California Energy Commission (CEC). 1990. Energy efficiency report. Sacramento, CA.

———. 1999. Fuels report (Commission Final—P300-99-001). July.

———. 2000. California energy demand 2000 – 2010 (Staff Report – Technical Report to California Energy Outlook 2000 Docket #99-CEO-1).

———. 2002. California energy facts. Available: <[http://www.energy.ca.gov/html/calif\\_energy\\_facts.html](http://www.energy.ca.gov/html/calif_energy_facts.html)> Accessed: November 21, 2002.

———. 2003. Glossary of terms. Available: <<http://www.energy.ca.gov/glossary/glossary-m.html>>. Accessed: March 3, 2003.

———. 2004a. Integrated energy policy report 2004 update. Last revised: November 2004. Available: <<http://energy.ca.gov/reports/CEC-100-2004-006/CEC-100-2004-006CMF.PDF>>. Accessed: June 5, 2007.

———. 2004b. Upgrading California's electric transmission system: Issues and actions for 2004 and beyond. Last revised: July 2004. Available: <[http://energy.ca.gov/2004\\_policy\\_update/documents/2004-08-23\\_workshop/2004-07-30\\_100-04-004D.PDF](http://energy.ca.gov/2004_policy_update/documents/2004-08-23_workshop/2004-07-30_100-04-004D.PDF)>. Accessed: June 5, 2007. Page 23.

———. 2005a. Forecasts of California transportation energy demand 2005–2025 (Staff Report in support of 2005 Integrated Energy Policy Report). Last revised: April 2005. Available: <<http://www.energy.ca.gov/2005publications/CEC-600-2005-009/CEC-600-2005-009.PDF>>. Accessed: June 5, 2007.

———. 2005b. Integrated energy policy report. Last revised: November 2005. Available: <<http://www.energy.ca.gov/2005publications/CEC-100-2005-007/CEC-100-2005-007-CMF.PDF>>. Accessed: June 5, 2007.

———. 2005c. Strategic transmission investment plan. Available: <<http://www.energy.ca.gov/2005publications/CEC-100-2005-006/CEC-100-2005-006-CTD.PDF>>. Accessed: June 5, 2007.

———. 2005d. California energy demand 2006–2016 staff energy demand forecast. Revised September 2005. Available: <<http://www.energy.ca.gov/2005publications/CEC-400-2005-034/CEC-400-2005-034-SF.PDF>>. Accessed: June 5, 2007.

———. 2006a. California electricity consumption by sector (million kWh)\*. Last revised: September 11, 2006. Available: <[http://www.energy.ca.gov/electricity/consumption\\_by\\_sector.html](http://www.energy.ca.gov/electricity/consumption_by_sector.html)>. Accessed: June 5, 2007.

———. 2006b. California natural gas demand 2005. Last revised: September 5, 2006. Available: <[http://www.energy.ca.gov/naturalgas/statistics/natural\\_gas\\_demand.html](http://www.energy.ca.gov/naturalgas/statistics/natural_gas_demand.html)>. Accessed: June 5, 2007.

———. 2006c. California's major sources of energy. Last revised: August 30, 2006. Available: <<http://www.energy.ca.gov/html/energysources.html>>. Accessed: June 5, 2007.

- . 2006d. Summer 2006 electricity supply and demand outlook, 6/29/06 revised demand. Last revised: April 2006. Available: <<http://energy.ca.gov/2006publications/CEC-700-2006-005/CEC-700-2006-005.PDF>>. Accessed: June 5, 2007.
- . 2006e. *California's energy future: Key drivers and issues in a global context*. Presented by James D. Boyd, Commissioner and Vice Chair, California Energy Commission, to the California Clean Energy Roundtable in San Diego, California, December 6–8, 2006. Available: <<http://www.energy.ca.gov/2006publications/CEC-999-2006-029/CEC-999-2006-029.PDF>>. Accessed: May 29, 2007.
- California Energy Commission/California Public Utilities Commission. 2003. Natural gas market prices. Sacramento, CA.
- California State Department of Transportation. 1983. Congressional Budget Office in energy and transportation systems. Prepared for the Federal Highway Administration. Sacramento, CA.
- Cambridge Systematics. 2007. Bay Area/California High-Speed Rail ridership and revenue forecasting study: alternatives analysis. Prepared for the Metropolitan Transportation Commission and the California High-Speed Rail Authority. June. Oakland, CA.
- DE Consult. 2000. German peer review, report, phase I. Frankfurt am Main, Germany. December.
- Federal Highway Administration. 2005. A summary of highway provisions in SAFETEA-LU. Last revised: August 25, 2005. Available: <<http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/safetealu/summary.htm>>. Accessed: February 21, 2006.
- Federal Transit Authority, Office of Planning. 1999. Technical guidance on section 5309 new starts criteria. July.
- National Council on Electricity Policy. 2004. Electricity transmission: A primer. Last revised: June 2004. Available: <[http://www.raponline.org/showpdf.asp?PDF\\_URL=%22Pubs/ELECTRICITYTRANSMISSION.pdf%22](http://www.raponline.org/showpdf.asp?PDF_URL=%22Pubs/ELECTRICITYTRANSMISSION.pdf%22)>. Accessed: June 5, 2007.
- National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. 2004. Automotive fuel economy program annual update—calendar year 2003. November.
- . 2006. CAFE overview—frequently asked questions. Available: <<http://www.nhtsa.dot.gov/cars/rules/cafe/overview.htm>>. Accessed: February 21, 2006.
- U.S. Congress, Budget Office. 1977. Urban transportation and energy: The potential savings of different modes. Washington D.C.
- . 1982. Energy use in freight transportation. Staff working paper. Washington D.C.
- U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Planning, Budget Formulation and Analysis, Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy. 2006. *Transportation energy data book: Edition 25*. Prepared by Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN.
- U.S. Department of Energy, Energy Information Administration. 2007. Annual energy outlook 2007: with projections to 2030. Available: <[http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/aeo/pdf/trend\\_4.pdf](http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/aeo/pdf/trend_4.pdf)>. Accessed: June 5, 2007. Washington, D.C.



#### 14.4.6 Section 3.6 Electromagnetic Fields and Electromagnetic Interference

Amtrak . 1993. Magnetic and electrical field testing of the Amtrak northeast corridor and New Jersey/North Jersey coast line rail systems, volume I: Analysis, DOT-VNTSC-FRA-93-4.1, NTIS, Springfield, VA. April.

California High-Speed Rail Authority and Federal Railroad Administration. 2005. Final program environmental impact report/environmental impact statement (EIR/EIS) for the proposed California high-speed train system. Sacramento, CA.

Federal Railroad Administration. 2006. EMF monitoring on Amtrak's northeast corridor: Post-electrification measurement and analysis, DOT/FRA/RDV-06/01. October.

Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers Standards Coordinating Committee 28, IEEE International Committee on Electromagnetic Safety on Non-Ionizing Radiation. 2002. IEEE Standard for safety levels with respect to human exposure to electromagnetic fields, 0-3 kHz (C95.6). No. IEEE Std C95.6-2002. New York: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. 43 p.

International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. 2002. IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans. Lyon, France: IARC Press. 429 p.

National Institute for Environmental Health Sciences, C. J. Portier and M. S. Wolfe (eds.). 1998. Assessment of health effects from exposure to power-line frequency electric and magnetic fields. Research Triangle Park, NC: National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences.

———. 1999. Health effects from exposure to power-line frequency electric and magnetic fields: Prepared in response to the 1992 energy policy at (PL 102-486, section 2118). NIH Publication No. 99-4493. Research Triangle Park, NC: National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences.

Neutra, R. R., V. Delpizzo, and G. M. Lee. 2002. An evaluation of the possible risks from electric and magnetic fields (EMFs) from power lines, internal wiring, electrical occupations and appliances. Oakland, CA: California EMF Program, California Department of Health Services. 401 p.

Severson R. K., R. G. Stevens, W. T. Kaune, D. B. Thomas, L. Heuser, S. Davis, and L. E. Sever. 1988. Acute nonlymphocytic leukemia and residential exposure to power frequency magnetic fields.

Silva, J. M. et al. 1988. Power frequency magnetic fields in the home. No. 88 WM 101-8. Piscataway, NJ: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers.

U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Railroad Administration. 2006. EMF monitoring on Amtrak's northeast corridor: post-electrification measurements and analysis. Final report. October. Office of Research and Development, Washington, DC. Available: <<http://www.fra.dot.gov/downloads/RRdev/rdv0601.pdf>>.

#### 14.4.7 Section 3.7 Land Use and Planning, Communities and Neighborhoods, Property, and Environmental Justice

California Department of Finance. 2006. California department of finance. Available: <[www.dof.ca.gov](http://www.dof.ca.gov)>.

California High-Speed Train Program. 2006. Aerial plans.



City and County of San Francisco, General Plan, Adopted between August 1997 and June 1998.

City of Fremont, General Plan, Adopted May 7, 1991.

City of Gilroy, General Plan, June, 2002.

City of Livermore, General Plan, Adopted 2004.

City of Merced, Merced Vision 2015 General Plan, April 1997.

City of Millbrae, General Plan, 1998 – 2015, Adopted November 24, 1998.

City of Millbrae, Millbrae Station Area, Specific Plan, Adopted November 24, 1998.

City of Modesto, Urban Area General Plan, Adopted 2003.

City of Morgan Hill, General Plan, July, 2001.

City of Oakland, Envision Oakland, General Plan / Land Use and Transportation Element, March, 1998.

City of Palo Alto, Palo Alto 1998 – 2010 Comprehensive Plan, 1998.

City of Pleasanton, General Plan, Adopted 1996.

City of Redwood City, Strategic General Plan, Adopted January 22, 1990.

City of San Jose, 2020 General Plan, Adopted August 16, 1994.

City of Tracy, City of Tracy General Plan, Draft Environmental Impact Report, October 4, 2005.

City of Union City. 2002 General Plan Policy Document, February, 2003.

County of Merced, Year 2000 General Plan, Adopted December 4, 1990.

U.S. Census Bureau. 2000. Census Data.

#### **14.4.8 Section 3.8 Agricultural Lands**

California Department of Food and Agriculture. 2005. California agriculture overview. In *California Agricultural Resource Directory 2005*. Available: <http://www.cdfa.ca.gov/card/pdfs/2cdfagovstat.pdf>. Accessed: October 20, 2006.

California Division of Land Resource Protection. 2006. Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program GIS Data 2006. Available: <ftp://ftp.consrv.ca.gov/pub/dlrp/FMMP/2006>. Accessed October 2006.

Kuminoff, N. V., A. D. Sokolow, and D. A. Sumner. 2001. Farmland conversion: Perceptions and realities. Pages 1–2 in *Agricultural Issues Center AIC Issues Brief*. May 2001.

#### **14.4.9 Section 3.11 Hazardous Materials and Wastes**

Environmental Data Resources, Inc. (EDR). 2003. Environmental geodata. January.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2006. EPA region 9, site EPA ID# CAD009103318.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2003. Office of solid waste and emergency response (OSWER) superfund website. Available: <<http://www.epa.gov/superfund/sites/index.htm>>. Accessed: February 2003.

———. 2006. Office of solid waste and emergency response (OSWER) superfund website. Available: <<http://www.epa.gov/region09/subject-sfund.html#j>>. Accessed: October 2006.

#### **14.4.10 Section 3.12 Cultural Resources and Paleontological Resources**

Applied Earthworks. 2004. Sacramento to Bakersfield, cultural resources technical evaluation. January. In association with DMJM+HARRIS. Prepared for the California High-Speed Rail Authority and the Federal Railroad Administration for the California High-Speed Train Program EIR/EIS.

City and County of San Francisco Planning Department. 2004. San Francisco preservation bulletin no. 10, historic and conservation districts in San Francisco. San Francisco, CA. Available: <[http://www.sfgov.org/site/planning\\_index.asp?id=24996](http://www.sfgov.org/site/planning_index.asp?id=24996)>.

EIP Associates. 2004. Sacramento to Bakersfield, paleontological resources technical evaluation. January. In association with DMJM+HARRIS. San Francisco and Sacramento, CA. Prepared for the California High-Speed Rail Authority and the Federal Railroad Administration for the California High-Speed Train Program EIR/EIS..

Far Western Anthropological Research Group. 2004. Bay Area to Merced, cultural resources, archeology, technical evaluation. January. Prepared for the California High-Speed Rail Authority and the Federal Railroad Administration for the California High-Speed Train Program EIR/EIS.

JRP Historical Consulting Services. 2004. Bay Area to Merced, cultural resources: historic architecture technical evaluation. January. Davis, CA. for the California High-Speed Rail Authority and the Federal Railroad Administration for the California High-Speed Train Program EIR/EIS.

Parker, P. and T. King. 1990. Guidelines for evaluating and documenting traditional properties. *National Register Bulletin* (38). Washington, D.C.

Parsons. 2004. Bay Area to Merced Segment, paleontologic resources technical evaluation. Prepared by C.B. Hanson and J. Durham for the California High-Speed Rail Authority and the Federal Railroad Administration for the California High-Speed Train Program EIR/EIS.

URS. 2006. Transbay Transit Center Program, Addendum for the Transbay Terminal/Downtown Extension/Redevelopment Project. Final Environmental Impact Statement/Report. May 25. Rev. 0. Prepared for the Transbay Joint Powers Authority. Available: <<http://www.transbaycenter.org/TransBay/uploadedFiles/Documents/Environmental/FEIR-1st-Addendum.pdf>>.

U.S. Geological Survey. 2006a. Geologic map of the San Francisco Bay Region (from USGS Scientific Investigations Map 2918). Available data for Alameda County, San Francisco County, San Mateo County, Santa Clara County, San Benito County: <<http://geomaps.wr.usgs.gov/sfgeo/geologic/downloads.html>>. Accessed: March 1, 2007. Prepared in cooperation with the California Geological Society.

U.S. Geological Survey. 2006b. San Jose—Cenozoic (mammal-age) fossil (mammoth). Last revised: August 18, 2006. Available:

<[http://geomaps.wr.usgs.gov/sfgeo/geologic/stories/sanjose\\_cenozoic.html](http://geomaps.wr.usgs.gov/sfgeo/geologic/stories/sanjose_cenozoic.html)>. Accessed: March 1, 2007.

#### **14.4.11 Section 3.13 Geology and Soils**

Bailey, E. H., and D. R. Harden. 1975. Map showing mineral resources of the San Francisco Bay Region, California – Present availability and planning for the future. USGS Map I-909.

California Department of Conservation, Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources. N.D. District 5 fields map.

———. 2001a. District 1 oil fields. April 16.

———. 2001b.

California Geological Survey. 1999. Seismic shaking hazard maps of California. Available: <<http://www.consrv.ca.gov>>. Accessed: Is this also "State of California. 1999" (see page 3.13-2 in text).

Hart, E. W., and W. A. Bryant. 1997. Fault rupture hazard zones in California. California Geologic Survey, special publication 42. 2002 edition (GIS version). Available: <<ftp://ftp.consrv.ca.gov/pub/dmg/pubs/sp/sp42.pdf>>. Accessed: March 21, 2007.

Jennings, C. W. 1997. Geologic map of California. California Division of Mines and Geology. Scale 1:750,000.

———. 1991.

Lienkaemper, J. J. 1992. Map of recently active traces of the Hayward Fault, Alameda and Contra Costa Counties, California. USGS Map MF-2196.

Maulchin, L. 1996. California seismic hazard map. California Department of Transportation.

Norris and Webb. 1990.

State of California. 1999. <see California Geological Survey.

#### **14.4.12 Section 3.14 Hydrology and Water Resources**

California Department of Water Resources. 2005. California water plan update 2005: volume 3, chapter 3 San Francisco Bay hydrologic region. (Bulletin 160-05). December. Sacramento, CA. Also available: <<http://www.waterplan.water.ca.gov/docs/cwpu2005/vol3/v3ch03.pdf>>. (pages 3-1, 3-3, 3-4, and 3-9)

California Department of Water Resources, Division of Mines and Geology. 2000. Available: <http://gis.ca.gov/catalog/BrowseRecord.epl?id=20843>. Accessed February 2007.

DeLorme. 2003. *Northern California atlas & gazetteer: Detailed topographic maps*. 6th Edition. Yarmouth, Maine: DeLorme.

DeLorme. 2003. *Southern and Central California atlas & gazetteer: Detailed topographic maps*. 7th Edition. Yarmouth, Maine: DeLorme.

Federal Emergency Management Agency. 2007. FEMA 100 year flood data. Available: <<http://gis.ca.gov/catalog/BrowseRecord.epl?id=21109>>. Accessed: January 2007.

State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) and Regional Water Quality Control Boards (RWQCB). 2003. CWA section 303(d) list 2002. Last revised: November 6, 2003. Available: <[http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/tmdl/303d\\_lists2002.html](http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/tmdl/303d_lists2002.html)>. Accessed: January 2007.

———. 2006. CWA section 303(d) list 2006. Last revised: November 7, 2006. Available: <<http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/tmdl/docs/303dlists2006/final/>>. Accessed: January 2007.

U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Mid-Pacific Region, MPGIS Service Center. 2005. Canal24ca—canals for California USBR at 1:24,000-scale. Last revised: May 2005. Available: <<http://gis.ca.gov/catalog/BrowseRecord.epl?id=29583>>. Accessed: March 2007.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Soil data mart. Available: <<http://SoilDataMart.nrcs.usda.gov/>>. Accessed: February 2007.

U.S. Geological Survey in cooperation with U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, ESRI. 2006. ESRI. Redlands, CA.

#### **14.4.13 Section 3.15 Biological Resources and Wetlands**

California Department of Fish and Game. 2006. California's wildlife. Last revised: February 2006. Available: <[www.dfg.ca.gov/whdab/html/cawildlife.html](http://www.dfg.ca.gov/whdab/html/cawildlife.html)>. Accessed: January 19, 2007.

———. 2001. Special animal list.

California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection. 1994. California hardwood rangeland vegetation. Sacramento, CA.

———. 2003a. Development footprint. 3.1. Sacramento, CA.

———. 2003b. LCMMP, vegetation data. Sacramento, CA.

California Native Plant Society. 2001. *Inventory of rare and endangered plants*. 6th Edition.

California Natural Diversity Database. 2006. Records search of Alameda County, Merced County, San Benito County, San Francisco County, San Joaquin County, Santa Clara County, and Stanislaus County. Version 3.0.5 dated September 1, 2006. Sacramento, CA: California Department of Fish and Game.

California Wilderness Coalition. 2000. Missing linkages: Restoring connectivity to the California landscape. November. San Diego Zoo, San Diego, CA.

Davis, F. W., D. M. Stoms, A. D. Hollander, K. A. Thomas, P. A. Stine, D. Odion, M. I. Borchert, J. H. Thorne, M. V. Gray, R. E. Walker, K. Warner, and J. Graae. 1998. The California gap analysis project—final report. Santa Barbara, CA: University of California, Santa Barbara.

East Contra Costa County. Habitat conservation plan association. 2005.

California Department of Fish and Game. 1997. California Central Valley wetlands and riparian GIS. Sacramento, CA.

- Hickman, J. C. (ed.). 1993. The Jepson manual: Higher plants of California. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Holland, R. 1986. Preliminary descriptions of the terrestrial natural communities of California. Sacramento, CA: California Department of Fish and Game.
- National Invasive Species Council. 2001. Meeting the invasive species challenge: National invasive species management plan. 80 pp.
- Parker, I., and K. Matyas. 1979. CALVEG: A classification of California vegetation. Regional Ecology Group. Sacramento, CA. Prepared for the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection.
- San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission. 2006. Available: <<http://www.bcdc.gov>>. Accessed: February 15, 2006.
- San Francisco Estuary Institute. 1998. SFEI: EcoAtlas Home. Last revised: 2005. Available: <<http://www.sfei.org/ec atlas/index.html>>. Accessed: 4/24/2007.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1984. Recovery plan for the San Bruno elfin and mission blue butterflies. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Portland, OR.
- . 1985. San Francisco garter snake recovery plan. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Portland, OR.
- . 1998a. Recovery plan for upland species of the San Joaquin Valley, California. Portland, OR.
- . 1998b. Recovery plan for serpentine soil species.
- . 1999. Conservation guidelines for the valley elderberry longhorn beetle. July 9. Sacramento, CA: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Ecological Services Office.
- . 2002a. Recovery plan for the California red-legged frog (*Rana aurora draytonii*). Portland, OR.
- . 2002b. Draft recovery plan for chaparral and scrub community species east of San Francisco Bay, California. Portland, OR.
- . 2005. Recovery plan for vernal pool ecosystems of California and southern Oregon. Portland, OR.

#### **14.4.14 Section 3.16 Section 4(f) and 6(f) Resources (Public Parks and Recreation)**

Federal Highway Administration. 1987.

#### **14.4.15 Section 3.17 Cumulative Analysis**

- Cal/EPA. 2005. Addendum to 2004 EPIC Update. September. Available: <<http://www.oehha.ca.gov/multimedia/epic/pdf/ADDENDUM-June2005complete.pdf>>. Accessed: June 4, 2007.
- California Department of Fish and Game. 2006. California wildlife action plan. Available: <<http://www.dfg.ca.gov/habitats/WDP/report.html>>. Accessed: June 15, 2007.

- California Department of Food and Agriculture. 2005. California agriculture overview. In *California Agricultural Resource Directory 2005*. Available:  
<<http://www.cdfa.ca.gov/card/pdfs/2cdfagovstat.pdf>>. Accessed: October 20, 2006.
- California Department of Transportation. 2006. California Motor Vehicle Stock, Travel and Fuel Forecast. December. Caltrans, Division of Transportation System Information.
- . 2007. State highway miles and vehicle miles traveled data summary 1990-2003. Available:  
<[http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/tsip/tsidoc/shwydata/SummaryMilesVMT1990\\_2003.xlsx](http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/tsip/tsidoc/shwydata/SummaryMilesVMT1990_2003.xlsx)>. Accessed: June 15, 2007.
- . 2007. Memorandum, Route Adoption, 10-MER-152 PM 16.0/24.8 Resolution HRA 07-031 November 7-8, 2007. California Department of Water Resources. 2003. California's groundwater—Bulletin 118, update 2003. Available:  
<<http://www.dpla2.water.ca.gov/publications/groundwater/bulletin118/Bulletin118-Findings.pdf>>. Accessed: June 8, 2007.
- . 2005. California waterplan highlights, a framework for action, bulletin 160-5. December. Available: <<http://www.waterplan.water.ca.gov/docs/cwpu2005/cwphighlights/highlights.pdf>>. Accessed: June 5, 2007.
- California Energy Commission (CEC). 2006a. California Electricity consumption by sector (million kWh)\*. Last revised: September 11, 2006. Available:  
<[http://www.energy.ca.gov/electricity/consumption\\_by\\_sector.html](http://www.energy.ca.gov/electricity/consumption_by_sector.html)>. Accessed: June 5, 2007.
- California High-Speed Rail Authority and Federal Railroad Administration. 2005. Final program environmental impact report/environmental impact statement (EIR/EIS) for the proposed California high-speed train system. Sacramento, CA.
- California Resources Agency, Wetlands Information System. 1998a. California's valuable wetlands. Last revised: August 13, 1998. Available: <<http://ceres.ca.gov/wetlands/introduction/values.html>>. Accessed: June 15, 2007.
- . 1998b. Past management practices. Last revised: August 18, 1998. Available:  
<<http://ceres.ca.gov/wetlands/introduction/values.html>>. Accessed: June 15, 2007.
- Great Valley Center. 2006. The state of the great Central Valley of California. Available:  
<[http://www.greatvalley.org/pub\\_documents/2005\\_12\\_19\\_15\\_42\\_31\\_2005\\_12\\_5\\_12\\_1\\_29\\_2005\\_environment.pdf](http://www.greatvalley.org/pub_documents/2005_12_19_15_42_31_2005_12_5_12_1_29_2005_environment.pdf)>. Accessed: June 8, 2007.
- Hansen, M., G. Gosling, T. Kettunen, and E. Wilkins. 2002. The California aviation system: Current status and report trends. Berkeley, CA: University of California Berkeley Institute of Transportation Studies.
- Kuminoff, N. V., A. D. Sokolow, and D. A. Sumner. 2001. Farmland conversion: Perceptions and realities. Pages 1–2 in *Agricultural Issues Center AIC Issues Brief*. May 2001.
- Metropolitan Transportation Commission. 2004. Transportation 2030 plan draft environmental impact report. October. Available:  
<[http://www.mtc.ca.gov/planning/2030\\_plan/downloads/EIR/Part1.pdf](http://www.mtc.ca.gov/planning/2030_plan/downloads/EIR/Part1.pdf)>. Accessed: June 2, 2007.
- . 2007. Regional Rail Plan for the San Francisco Bay Area. Final Report. September 2007.

Penrod, K., R. Hunter, and M. Merrifield. 2001. Missing linkages: Restoring connectivity to the California landscape, conference proceedings. Co-sponsored by the California Wilderness Coalition, The Nature Conservancy, U.S. Geological Survey, Center for Reproduction of Endangered Species, and California State Parks.

State Water Resources Control Board. 2003. Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board. July. Available: <[http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/rwqcb5/board\\_information/Overview.html](http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/rwqcb5/board_information/Overview.html)>. Accessed: June 2, 2007.

———. 2006. CWA section 303(d) list 2006. Last revised: November 7, 2006. Available: <<http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/tmdl/docs/303dlists2006/final/>>. Accessed: January 2007.

Teitz, M. B., C. Dietzel, and W. Fullton. 2005. Urban development futures in the San Joaquin Valley. Available: <[http://www.ppic.org/content/pubs/report/R\\_205MTR.pdf](http://www.ppic.org/content/pubs/report/R_205MTR.pdf)>. Accessed: June 8, 2007.

U.S. Geological Survey. 2006. Access—San Francisco Bay and Delta. Last revised: December 27, 2006. Available: <<http://sfbay.wr.usgs.gov/>>. Accessed: June 15, 2007.

## **14.5 Chapter 4 Costs and Operations**

California High-Speed Rail Authority and Federal Railroad Administration. 2005. Final Program environmental impact report/environmental impact statement (EIR/EIS) for the proposed California high-speed train system. Sacramento, CA.

Cambridge Systematics. 2007. Bay Area/California High-Speed Rail ridership and revenue forecasting study: alternatives analysis. Prepared for the Metropolitan Transportation Commission and the California High-Speed Rail Authority. June. Oakland, CA.

McGraw-Hill Construction ENR. 2007. Construction Index History. In Engineering News Record (ENR.com). Last revised: April 1, 2007. Available: <[http://enr.ecnext.com/coms2/summary\\_0271-38667\\_ITM](http://enr.ecnext.com/coms2/summary_0271-38667_ITM)>.

Parsons-Brinckerhoff. 2004. Capital cost report. January.

## **14.6 Chapter 5 Economic Growth and Related Impacts**

California High-Speed Rail Authority. 2003. Economic growth effects of the system alternatives for the program environmental impact report/environmental impact statement. Sacramento, CA.

California Department of Housing and Community Development. May 2000. Exhibit 17 (Raising the roof: California housing development projections and constraints)

Cambridge Systematics. 2007. MTC/California High-Speed Rail Travel Demand Model (output).

Cambridge Systematics. 2007. Bay Area/California High-Speed Rail ridership and revenue forecasting study: final report. Prepared for the Metropolitan Transportation Commission and the California High-Speed Rail Authority. July.

U.S. Bureau of the Census. 2007.



## **14.7 Chapter 6 HST Station Area Development**

None

## **14.8 Chapter 7 High-Speed Train Network and Alignment Alternatives Comparisons**

California High-Speed Rail Authority and Federal Railroad Administration. 2005. November. Final program environmental impact report/environmental impact statement (EIR/EIS) for the proposed California high-speed train system.

## **14.9 Chapter 8 Preferred HST Alignment and Station Location Options**

CWA. 2006. 2006 Federal Agency MOU for the Bay Area to Central Valley HST Program EIR/EIS.

## **14.10 Chapter 9 Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts**

California High-Speed Rail Authority. 2000. High-Speed Train System Business Plan. Sacramento, CA.

## **14.11 Chapter 10 Public and Agency Involvement**

California High Speed Rail Authority and Federal Railroad Administration. 2006. January 20. Bay Area to Central Valley scoping report.